

MINUTES
REGULAR MEETING – PLANNING BOARD

December 20, 2012

Minutes for the Regular Planning Board for The City of Daytona Beach, Florida, held on Thursday, December 20, 2012, at 6:00 p.m., in the Commission Chambers, City Hall, 301 South Ridgewood Avenue, Daytona Beach, Florida.

Board members Present were as follows:

Jeff Hurt
Tracey Remark
John McGuinness
Louis Moore
Cathy Washington
Bob Hoitsma
James Neal
Janet LeSage

Absent Members:

Matthew Bohon
Tim Davis
Shirley Benjamin

Staff members present:

Richard Walton, Planning Director
Dennis Mrozek, Senior Planner
Thomas Weitnauer, Principal Planner
Carrie Lathan, Assistant City Attorney
Rose Askew, Planning Technician
Robin Cook, Regulatory Compliance Officer

1. **Call to Order**

Louis Moore, Chair called the meeting to order at 6:00 pm.

2. **Roll Call**

Ms. Washington called the roll and noted members present as listed above.

12-20-12 Planning Board Meeting

3. **Approval of the Minutes:** November 15, 2012

Board Motion

It was moved by Mr. Hurt to approve the November 15, 2012 Planning Board Meeting Minutes. Seconded by Mrs. Remark.

Board Action

The motion was approved 8-to-0.

4. **Site Plan Approval – Museum of Arts and Sciences, DEV2012-124**

A request by Robert A. Merrell III, Esquire, Cobb Cole, and Robert Ball, P.E., Zev Cohen & Associates, Inc., on behalf of Andrew Sandall, Executive Director, Museum of Arts and Sciences, (MOAS) for approval of a site plan for 23.5± acres of land located at 352 South Nova Road, Daytona Beach. The proposed site plan is for construction of a 26,700 square foot museum with associated parking, utilities, and stormwater facilities in a project area of 5.0± acres.

Staff Presentation

Thomas Weitnauer, Principal Planner, gave a PowerPoint presentation that included the request as written above and stated at the November 15, 2012 Planning Board Meeting, the Board unanimously recommended approval to expand the existing MOAS Special Use Permit and the City Commission approved the request at their meeting last night. He stated the proposed site plan on tonight's agenda was the same site plan that was included with the special use permit request. Mr. Weitnauer stated the Land Development Code (LDC), Article 4, Section 2 requires Planning Board review and approval for site plans in excess of 20,000 square feet and that the City's Technical Review Team (TRT) had reviewed the proposed site plan and determined that it met all LDC requirements. He stated there were some outstanding comments from the special use permit that would be addressed in the final site plan. He stated staff recommends approval of the site plan approval request and a majority vote of Planning Board members present and voting was required for approval.

Applicant Presentation

Robert Merrell, 150 Magnolia Avenue, Daytona Beach, stated at the November Planning Board Meeting there was extensive discussion on the proposed site plan and as stated by Mr. Weitnauer, outstanding staff comments would be addressed in the final site plan. He stated Andrew Sandall, Executive Director of the MOAS, Tom Hart, General Council for the MOAS, and Bobby Ball and Paul Momberger with Zev Cohen were present to address any questions.

12-20-12 Planning Board Meeting

Citizen Comments

No citizen comments.

Board Comments

No Board comments.

Board Motion

It was moved by Mr. Hurt to approve the Site Plan – Museum of Arts and Sciences, DEV 2012-124. Seconded by Mr. Neal.

Board Action

The motion was approved 8-to-0.

5. **Land Development Code Text Amendment – Wastewater Collection and Treatment System, DEV2012-129**

A request by The City of Daytona Beach's Utilities Department to amend the Land Development Code (LDC), Article 7 (Environmental Requirements), Section 6 (Sanitary Sewer System), concerning direct and indirect contributions to the City's wastewater collection, treatment, and disposal systems.

Staff Presentation

Dennis Mrozek, Senior Planner gave a PowerPoint presentation that included the request as written above and stated Article 7, Section 6 of the LDC regulates discharge of pollutants into the City's wastewater treatment facility and that limitations to the types and amounts of the pollutants released into the system were governed by federal and state regulations. He stated the proposed text amendment was being brought forward by the City's Utilities department as a requirement by state regulations. He read a list of the proposed changes and stated in addition to state required changes, the proposed amendment would also remove the Local Limits Table from the LDC, which would require future changes to be approved by resolution of the City Commission. Mr. Mrozek stated the City's Regulatory Compliance Officer, Robin Cook, was present to answer any questions and that staff was recommending approval of the LDC text amendment. A majority vote of Planning Board members present and voting was required to recommend approval to the City Commission.

Robin Cook, Regulatory Compliance Officer gave a detailed summary on the purpose of the proposed text amendment and stated staff was requesting to remove the Local Limits Table in an attempt to incorporate best management practices as part of their process. She added that they were cooperating with the private sector to address public concerns about the changes.

12-20-12 Planning Board Meeting

Mr. Moore asked if the amendment replaced the inflexible standards in the LDC.

Ms. Cook replied in an effort to allow cities to work with businesses to meet Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) requirements the EPA streamlined the process to assist so Utilities Departments to incorporate best management practices.

Citizen Comments

No citizen comments.

Board Comments

No Board comments.

Board Motion

It was moved by Ms. Remark to approve the Land Development Code Text Amendment – Wastewater Collection and Treatment System, DEV2012-129. Seconded by Ms. Washington.

Board Action

The motion was approved 8-to-0.

6. Rezoning to OP – Speedway Shoppes, DEV2012-056 (Quasi Judicial Hearing)

A request by Paul F. Holub Jr., on behalf of Speedway Investors, LLC, to rezone 2.5± acres of land located at 1173 International Speedway Boulevard, Daytona Beach, from R-1a (Single Family) to OP (Office Professional).

Staff Presentation

Dennis Mrozek, Senior Planner gave a PowerPoint presentation that included the request as written above, the general location and future land use. He stated the proposed site was brought before the Board at the January 26, 2012 Planning Board Meeting as a request to rezone the property from R-1a (Single-family) to PCD (Planned Commercial Development). It was continued to the April 26, 2012 meeting and then to the July 26, 2012 meeting. On June 13, 2012 staff received a request from the applicant to withdraw the proposed rezoning request. The applicant is now bringing forward a request to rezone the property from R-1a to OP, (Office Professional). He stated the site consists of five individual parcels that would be combined during the development stage and the current R-1a zoning was not compatible with the City's Comprehensive Plan. Mr. Mrozek stated a site plan was not required for the rezoning request and that approval of the rezoning request would bring the zoning into LDC compliance. He stated approval of the rezoning request was a policy decision based on staff's analysis, Planning Board review and recommendation and ultimately City Commission approval and stated staff would support the Board's recommendation. An affirmative vote of six or more Board members was required to recommend approval to the City Commission.

12-20-12 Planning Board Meeting

Mr. McGuinness asked if there was any consideration given to other zoning categories like RP (Residential Professional).

Mr. Mrozek replied he believed the proposed OP zoning classification was the best option because of the Comprehensive Plan text amendment associated with the request.

Mr. McGuinness asked if RP was a compatible zoning category.

Mr. Mrozek replied it would have been compatible but it would also have offered additional uses that were not in keeping with large scale office development.

Mrs. Remark stated on page four of the staff report it reads *“Access to the site is proposed via a right-in/right-out access and a directional access on US 92, and a secondary access on Central Avenue”* and stated when the request came before the Board previously, consideration was given to closing Central Avenue, giving it back to the neighborhood. She asked when that discussion would take place for this request.

Mr. Mrozek replied those locations were actually associated with the Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) and the numbers were run with TIA. He stated if it comes back and staff determines that modifications are needed for the ingress and egress points, modifications would be done at that point and time. He stated the site plan would reflect what the TIA indicated.

Applicant Presentation

Mr. James Stowers, 44 West Granada Boulevard, Ormond Beach, stated he and his team members were present to answer any questions the Board might have. He gave a brief summary of events that lead to the proposed request and stated the goal tonight was to bring the property into compliance by rezoning it to OP. He stated he believed the OP zoning designation was in keeping with the future land use. Mr. Stowers stated he and his client were in agreement with staff’s recommendation and asked if he could come back and address any questions that may arise from citizens and/or the Board.

Ms. Washington asked if any meetings had been held with residents to get their input.

Mr. Stowers replied they had not gone back and held another neighborhood meeting because they felt the message was crystal clear at the City Commission meeting. He stated the directive from the Highlands neighborhood attorney was that the residents were not opposed to the OP zoning because it was consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, which was consistent with the City’s Vision Plan for the City’s gateway. He stated he would defer to his client to answer the question as to whether there would be another neighborhood meeting held when they get to the site plan phase of the project and he felt this was rather early in the process to make the determination what would go on the site. Mr. Stowers stated based on the sentiments from the neighborhood at the City Commission meeting him and his client felt the OP use was absolutely appropriate.

12-20-12 Planning Board Meeting

Mr. Hoitsma stated on page four of the staff report there was an indication that there would be a right-in/right-out for the lot, but there was also an indication that there would be a directional access on US92. He asked for clarification.

Paul Holub, 675 North Beach Street, Daytona Beach replied site plans from the previous project included a directional left turn on US92 that had been discussed with Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT). He stated a formal application was never submitted but there were preliminary discussions that it may be completely irrelevant with the proposed request because it may or may not be allowed with an OP project versus a Retail project.

Mr. Hoitsma stated so at this stage it is not known.

Mr. Holub replied correct, that would be determined during the site plan process and a formal application would then be submitted to FDOT.

Mr. McGuinness asked if his understanding was correct that the previous request included a site plan for retail land use.

Mr. Walton replied the previous request was for a comprehensive plan amendment and a rezoning to Planned Development (PD) for retail.

Mr. McGuinness stated at that point there was a neighborhood meeting held and the discussion included the residents' concerns about uses that would be allowed with the PD. He stated the current request is for approval to rezone the property to OP but a site plan is not included because there is not a project. He stated it seemed that the potential uses for the rezoning were not fully discussed during the previous rezoning request and it also seemed that residents would be concerned about what could possibly be built on the property. He asked the applicant if there were any plans to hold a neighborhood meeting to discuss what could possibly be built on the property if it were rezoned to OP.

Mr. Stowers replied Mr. Holub held two neighborhood meetings when the site was proposed to be rezoned to PD and those discussions included the proposed Comprehensive Plan amendment, the PD rezoning and also a site plan level analysis of the specific uses including retail. He stated at the City Commission meeting the directive was clear and they had gone back and revised the request to a use that was consistent with the land use. Mr. Stowers stated in terms of uses, with any zoning category there are numerous uses.

Mr. McGuinness asked if he felt it was necessary to get additional input from the neighborhood.

Mr. Holub stated at this stage they did not have any information to share with residents other than the rezoning request, close to \$100, 000 had already been spent in soft costs for the presentations to residents for the previous rezoning request and they could not afford to do that again. He stated typically, the rezoning comes first, then the site plan and neighborhood meeting(s) to address the proposed uses for the project.

12-20-12 Planning Board Meeting

Mr. McGuinness stated his point was to meet with residents to discuss permitted uses for the OP zoning designation.

Mr. Holub stated they sent certified mail notices to all residents within 500 feet of the property and also the neighborhood homeowners association.

Mrs. Remark stated OP zoning designation was pretty straightforward, business and professional services and other uses like special or conditional uses would require the applicant to meet all of the requirements for approval.

Mr. Walton stated it would call for a hearing.

Mrs. Remark stated right but it would not be a variance to allow retail. She stated before the previous request went before the City Commission, the Planning Board had a thorough discussion and she thought the Board made it clear that office professional uses were what made sense for this site. She stated she was pleased to see Mr. Holub come forward with the proposed rezoning to OP but she thinks everyone was expecting a project to be proposed as part of the rezoning request. Mrs. Remark stated RP zoning was what she would normally want but when you look at what has occurred on both sides of the property OP zoning was a better fit.

Mr. Hurt stated he felt the OP zoning designation was a perfect transition into the neighborhood because it buffered the neighborhood from US92, there would never be a single-family home built there and there would be offices that people in the neighborhood could walk to for services.

Citizen Comments

Fred Favorite, 100 Tarragona Way, Daytona Beach spoke in favor of the request.

Roland Blossom, Esq., 1171 Orange Ave, Daytona Beach stated he was speaking for himself and also on behalf of the Homeowners Association. He stated he checked against on the form because there were not any other viable alternatives to check. He stated he felt a point of clarification was needed in reference to the applicant's statements regarding the Tarragona residents being perfectly ok with the proposed OP zoning designation. He stated he did not recall the City Commission ever discussing the OP zoning designation, but there was some discussion about whether an office use would be a better fit and whether or not the residents would be in agreement with an office use. Mr. Blossom stated the answer from the residents was a resounding yes, but there was never a discussion about the proposed zoning designation. He stated the OP zoning designation was obviously the highest intensity of office development that could be placed on the site and there were other alternative categories that could be used. He felt it would have been beneficial for the applicant to have held a meeting with the Highlands Association to specifically discuss the OP zoning category because they have concerns because the neighborhood was a low density residential neighborhood and even though the change was transitional, it was still

12-20-12 Planning Board Meeting

an immediate jump in density. Mr. Blossom stated the residents were requesting that there be some discussion, perhaps from staff, on the particular zoning categories that could be used based on the land use designation because the staff report only addressed the particular zoning allowed for the OP zoning designation. He stated he was not opposed to the property being used for office development but he would like to know what kind of office and professional uses would be authorized within the zoning district.

Mr. Moore asked Mr. Walton to address Mr. Blossom's statements.

Mr. Walton asked Mr. Mrozek to read the allowable uses for RP zoning.

Mr. Mrozek stated RP zoning included all of the uses permitted in the RR zoning category. He read the list of permitted, special and conditional uses and stated the RP zoning designation allowed much more expansive type of uses.

Mrs. Remark stated OP does not have the collapsing pyramid like the other categories.

Mr. Mrozek replied that was correct, it was a straight category at the bottom of the pyramid. He read the list of permitted, special and conditional uses that would be allowed and stated Mr. Weitnauer provided a matrix that showed the land use categories and the zoning districts that fit into the land use categories. He read the list of uses that would be allowed under the Office Transitional land use category.

Board Comments

Mr. McGuinness stated the permitted uses under both zoning categories were exactly the same except that RP permits less intensive uses in the pyramid scheme.

Mr. Mrozek stated the permitted uses in the OP zoning category were business and professional services, period.

Mr. McGuinness stated permitted uses in RP are also business and professional services.

Mr. Mrozek stated RP also includes all single-family, multi-family, etc uses.

Mr. McGuinness stated he agreed that the OP zoning category was a transition between the residential and ISB, but usually RP zoning designation was looked at as a buffer category between single-family residential and anything else. He stated the challenge he was having was envisioning the RP zoning category as a buffer for residential because it seemed to him that it provided more protection for the neighborhood. He asked if the intensity of development, height limits and compatibility would have the same issues if the site were developed under the OP zoning category as opposed to RP.

Mr. Mrozek replied he would have to research that because he was not sure, but he wanted to point out that there was a neighborhood policy that says this particular piece of property must be developed as a large scale office development. Because of this policy, the residential component would not meet the requirements. He stated if it were zoned RP they would not be permitted to do residential zoning because of the neighborhood policy and that the zoning would have to be Office.

12-20-12 Planning Board Meeting

Mrs. Remark stated she did not know Carrie’s thoughts but she felt Article 18 of the LDC addressed higher intensity next to single-family or lower intensity regardless of the zoning designation.

Ms. Lathan stated correct there were certain setbacks and height.

Mrs. Remark stated and those would apply for RP and OP zoning designations based on the fact that this was a higher intensity use.

Ms. Lathan read the criteria from Article 18.

Mr. McGuinness stated the intensity and compatibility would be the same for either use.

Ms. Lathan replied yes.

Mr. McGuinness stated his concern was the compatibility of professional or office next to single-family.

Board Motion

It was moved by Mr. Hurt to approve Rezoning to OP – Speedway Shoppes, DEV2012-056 (Quasi Judicial Hearing). Seconded by Ms. Remark.

Board Action

The motion was approved 8-to-0 by roll-call vote with the breakdown as follows:

Mr. Hurt	Yes
Mrs. Remark	Yes
Mr. McGuinness	Yes
Mr. Bohon	Absent
Mr. Moore	Yes
Ms. Washington	Yes
Mr. Hoitsma	Yes
Mr. Davis	Absent
Ms. Benjamin	Absent
Mr. Neal	Yes
Mrs. LeSage	Yes

7. **Site Plan Approval – Tradewinds Apartment Complex, DEV2012-105**

A request by Mark S. Dowst, P.E., on behalf of Richard McKenna of Comtrust Holding III, LLC, for approval of a site plan for 21.3± acres of land generally located at the southwest corner of Dunn Avenue and Jimmy Ann Drive. The proposed site plan is for construction of a 240 unit apartment complex.

Staff Presentation

Dennis Mrozek, Senior Planner gave a PowerPoint presentation that included the request as written above and stated the property is generally located east of Bill France Boulevard at the southwest corner of Dunn Avenue and Jimmy Ann Drive. The proposed site plan is a 21,000 square foot apartment complex that will accommodate student housing and was being reviewed tonight because Article 4, Section 8.2(d) of the Land Development Code requires site plans for development in excess of 20,000 square feet of gross floor area, except in redevelopment districts, be approved by the Planning Board. He stated the future land use for the site is Level 2 Residential (Multi-Family) and the zoning is R-2b (Multi-Family). Mr. Mrozek gave some additional details of the proposed project and stated the City’s Technical Review Team (TRT) has reviewed the building design and determined it meets LDC design standards. The applicant has submitted a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) and has agreed to provide an enhanced traffic stop along Jimmy Ann Drive for public transportation. He stated the City’s traffic consultant is reviewing the TIA and additional pedestrian connections will be added based on the response from the consultant. He stated any outstanding TRT comments will be resolved prior to final site plan approval and a School Concurrency certificate had been issued for the application. He stated staff recommends approval of the proposed site plan subject to resolution of any outstanding staff and TRT comments and a majority vote of Board members present and voting was required to recommend approval to the City Commission.

Mrs. Remark read the language from page five of the staff report as follows, “existing trees/understory and natural vegetation will remain on the southern property line” and stated the southern boundary appears to be located in the area where all of the stormwater grading was being placed.

Mr. Mrozek stated there was a lot of compensating storage on the southern portion but it also included a 25 foot strip for landscape buffering.

Applicant Presentation

Mark Dowst, 536 North Halifax Avenue, Daytona Beach, stated Daytona Beach has needed this type of project for a long time and if you go visit other institutions of higher learning, you will find several complexes similar to this one. He stated Mr. Mrozek gave a very good overview and he was available to answer any questions.

12-20-12 Planning Board Meeting

Mr. Hurt stated he is aware of projects of this type at other universities and he had a lot of friends whose children lived in this type of housing when they were in college. He stated he does not know why Daytona Beach does not have housing of this type but he believes this project was a move in a positive direction.

Ms. Washington stated she loves the idea and asked if the plan was for the complex to have a tin roof.

Mr. Dowst replied the clubhouse would have a metal seamed roof to give it more of an edgier look but the multi-family buildings would have shingle roofs with an architectural grade.

Citizen Comments

No citizen comments.

Board Comments

No Board comments.

Board Motion

It was moved by Ms. Remark to approve the Site Plan– Tradewinds Apartment Complex, DEV2012-105. Seconded by Mr. Hurt.

Board Action

The motion was approved 8-to-0.

8. Park Facility Renaming, DEV2012-135

A request by D’Lorah A. Hyacinth, to rename the athletic fields at Derbyshire Park & Sports Complex, located 849 Derbyshire Road, Daytona Beach, to the “Harold V. Lucas, Jr. Athletic Fields” to commemorate Mr. Lucas’ contributions to The City of Daytona Beach.

Staff Presentation

Dennis Mrozek, Senior Planner gave a PowerPoint presentation that included the request as written above and the City’s facility renaming requirements. He stated the applicant provided 160 signatures and the required statement in support of the proposed renaming. He stated the request had been modified from renaming of the entire Derbyshire Park to renaming of only the existing athletic fields. Mr. Mrozek stated the athletic fields included the four baseball fields and the open field that was used for football and soccer. He stated staff recommends approval of the modified request to rename the athletic fields at Derbyshire Park and Sports Complex and a majority vote by Planning Board members present and voting was required to recommend approval to the City Commission. He stated Percy Williamson, Leisure Services Director was present to answer any questions on the proposed renaming request.

Applicant Presentation

D’Lorah Hyacinth, 1053 Peter Road, Daytona Beach stated she was pleased that the City was recommending approval of her request. She stated the resolution that established the criteria for naming and renaming of City owned facilities and land seeks to provide recognition or honor to persons that have contributed to the betterment of the City, State or United States. She stated the application packet she submitted included over 60 pages that highlighted just a few of the contributions Mr. Lucas had made to the City. She stated Mr. Lucas had touched the lives of thousands of people that have gone on to make a difference in the City, state and country. She stated T.K. Wetherell, former President of Florida State University recognized her father at the last graduation ceremony he officiated. Mrs. Hyacinth stated later when Mr. Wetherell became President of Daytona Beach Community College he hired her father as Assistant to the President. She stated growing up in Daytona Beach she heard countless stories from people about the impact her father had on their lives and that because of him, they had gone on to touch the lives of others. She stated even though her father did not look like it, he was 80 years old and had been touching the lives of Daytona Beach residents for over 60 of those years. She asked the Board to support her request.

Citizen Comments

Attorney Reginald Moore, 209 North Keech Street, Daytona Beach spoke in support of the request.

Harold V. Lucas, 309 Jefferson Street, Daytona Beach stated anything he could say would be anticlimactic and that he was just an old ball coach that had spent 60+ years trying to help kids. He stated recently a retirement celebration was held for Fred Merrell, a longtime employee with the City that he helped get started in his athletic career. He also coached Cathy Washington in the state basketball championship and Lynn Thompson when he was a football player at Mainland High School, who later went on to play professional football. He thanked the Board for hearing his daughter’s request and his family and friends for coming out to support him. He stated he would be honored if the City Commission would approve the request.

Lynn Thompson, 901 Bethune Boulevard, Daytona Beach spoke in support of the request.

Reverend Martin Tooley, 640 Marion Street, Daytona Beach spoke in support of the request.

Madeline Young, 1413 Mollie Road, Daytona Beach stated she was present tonight to give the Board some facts about the contributions her husband Rufus “Buddy” Young had made to The City of Daytona Beach. She stated he was Zone 5 City Commissioner for 14 years, a police officer for 20 years, deputy sheriff for six years, and a school administrator for 30 years. She gave a brief history of the contributions Mr. Young had made to the City and stated the Derbyshire Park area was part of Zone 5 and that her husband worked diligently in that area. Mrs. Young stated any former or current City employees that were around when her husband was a City Commissioner could attest to his good works.

12-20-12 Planning Board Meeting

Norma Bland, 1324 Imperial Drive, Daytona Beach stated for some reason every time she spoke people misconstrued what she said and she knew she did not have a speech impediment. She stated Mr. Harold Lucas had been an educator, coach and philanthropist for many years as well as her Driver Education teacher. She stated she assisted in getting residents to sign the petition in support of the proposed request and that she does feel he should be honored, however as president of the Derbyshire Community Group she was required to listen to the residents in the Derbyshire community and that she received a call from Mrs. Young, who expressed a strong interest in renaming Derbyshire Park after her late husband Rufus "Buddy" Young. She stated both men had made significant contributions to the City and both men were well deserving of recognition, but the Derbyshire residents felt the Athletic Sports Complex at Derbyshire Park should reflect the name of the person who had given outstanding service and contributions to the Derbyshire Community. She stated she was speaking tonight as an unbiased citizen because she loved and respected both men but as the president of the Derbyshire Community Group and as a community activist she had a responsibility to play fair ground.

Board Comments

Mr. Hurt stated he was sure Mr. Young's contributions were well deserved to be honored but tonight the Board had before them a request that had gone through the City's application process.

Mr. Moore stated there were other parts of the park available for naming. He asked Mr. Williamson to address his statement.

Percy Williamson, Leisure Services Director stated Derbyshire Park and Sports Complex had a number of elements that encompassed the 34 acres. He stated within the Sports Complex were four baseball fields and a football field, six basketball courts and seven tennis courts. He stated the Yvonne Scarlett-Golden Education and Cultural Center was being constructed at the northeastern corner of the complex and the only areas remaining that could be named were the tennis and basketball courts.

Mr. Hoitsma stated he did not believe there was any doubt about contributions Mr. Lucas had done for the City but for a number of years the Board had struggled with the length of the titles placed on City facilities and streets. He referenced the renaming of Volusia Avenue to International Speedway Boulevard. He stated the guidelines read "The name should be a surname or the shortest name possible". He asked if the name could be shortened to "The Lucas Athletic Fields" instead of the entire name. He stated he believes it will eventually be called Lucas Athletic Fields anyway.

Mr. Hurt replied he understood Mr. Hoitsma's point but to make sure that future visitors of the park would know the park was named in recognition of Mr. Harold V. Lucas the name should reflect his entire name.

12-20-12 Planning Board Meeting

Mr. Hoitsma stated a sign or plaque could be placed in the park that would have information about who Mr. Lucas was.

Ms. Bland asked if it would be out of order for her to speak.

Mrs. Remark replied yes it would be out of order.

Ms. Bland stated even if she was speaking out of order she was requesting to speak as a citizen of Daytona Beach.

Mr. Moore allowed Ms. Bland to speak.

Ms. Bland stated it would be a dishonor and disservice to name the fields "Lucas Athletic Fields" because his parents named him Harold V. Lucas. She stated she needed the Board to understand that Derbyshire was the residents' community and the Board did not need to make all of the decisions about her community. She told the Board they needed to listen and allow residents to address what the residents in the community wanted.

Board Motion

It was moved by Mr. Hurt to approve Park Facility Renaming, DEV2012-135. Seconded by Mr. McGuinness.

Board Action

The motion was approved 8-to-0.

9. **Other Business**

A. **Downtown/Balough Road Redevelopment Area Board Report**

Ms. Washington stated the Board met on December 4, 2012 at 12:00 PM in the City Commission Chambers. She stated the Board approved a conditional use for property located at 200 South Beach Street. They had discussion on design standards for signs, public parking for Jackie Robinson Ball Park, funding and new grants and Balough Road projects including the Balough Road bridge, and Sigma Park improvements. Additionally, the Board discussed Beach Street and Balough Road Streetscape, possibly placing sidewalks on each side of Beach Street and the December 15th meeting on Riverfront Market Place.

B. **Midtown Redevelopment Area Board Report**

No report.

C. Main Street/South Atlantic Redevelopment Area Board Report

Mrs. Remark stated the meeting for December was cancelled. She stated the Board of Adjustments (BOA) passed the variance for the property at the foot of Main Street that runs along the river. She stated the BOA's recommendation incorporated the five recommendations made by the Main Street/South Atlantic Redevelopment Board as a condition of approval.

D. Public Comments

No public comments.

E. Staff Comments

Mr. Walton stated in each Board member's folder there should have been a flyer for a training session being sponsored by V-Card. He stated the session was scheduled for January 12th at Daytona State College and would be very informal. He stated there would be public officials, Planning Board members and planning staff from all over the county in attendance and asked Board members to attend if their schedule would permit. He stated a Transportation Workshop was tentatively scheduled for January 16th at 4:00 PM in City Commission Chambers. He wished everyone happy holidays.

F. Board Member Comments

Mr. Moore wished everyone happy holidays.

Mr. Hurt stated he took exception to the speaker that stated this was her community because Daytona Beach was everyone's community and he does not separate one area from another when making decisions on what is best for the City. He stated he was a representative for the entire City of Daytona Beach.

Ms. Washington stated one thing that must be considered is that there is a process for everything the Board does and there was only one individual that followed the process from beginning to end. She stated she did understand what was being attempted, but it was too late.

Mr. Moore stated he hoped Mrs. Young understood the process in case she wanted to move forward with a formal application. He stated he was pleasantly surprised when he read all of the things Mr. Lucas had done for the City and he was sure both people were viable candidates but only one had gone through the City's process.

Mr. Neal stated he knew both men were well deserving of the honor but only one request was submitted. He stated as far as the citizen that made the statement regarding it being their neighborhood, sometimes it is best to just be quiet and let the process take place.

Mrs. LeSage stated she was sure Mr. Hoitsma did not have any intentions to offend Mr. Lucas or his family and he is probably correct in saying the name would be shortened to "Lucas Ball Fields".

12-20-12 Planning Board Meeting

Mr. Hoitsma stated he was not trying to offend anyone, he was addressing past discussions that the Board had regarding the length of names for City facilities and streets. He stated the City Commission recently discussed the importance of naming City facilities and streets and he was following up on the discussion.

Mrs. Remark stated she was a City Commissioner when the criterion for naming of City facilities was amended and she remembered how contentious the discussion was.

Mrs. LeSage stated a perfect example would be the four names given to Fairview Avenue.

Mrs. Remark stated it sounded like the City Commission was moving toward making changes to the current criteria.

Mr. Hoitsma stated his line of thought was each time a City facility or street was named and the name was very long, the Board would have the same discussion. He stated he was simply trying to correct the problem before it moved forward.

Mr. Moore stated in the final analysis, the Board got to hear some very nice things about both gentlemen.

Mr. McGuinness stated he hoped someone would explain the process to Mrs. Young and that he thinks the City Commission was harkening back to the discussion the Board had last year regarding the length of names on street signs.

Mr. Hoitsma stated another example was International Speedway Boulevard should have been named Speedway Boulevard.

Adjournment

There being no further actions to come before the board, the meeting was adjourned at 8:00 PM.



LOUIS MOORE
Chair

ATTEST:



CATHY WASHINGTON
Secretary